## CBC NEWSLETTER - April 2024 <br> Editor - Derek Stringfellow

## Note from the President:

Welcome to our 2024 Management Committee! There are a couple of new faces - Dianne McColl and Derek Stringfellow. As she is now mobile again, Charlene Frederiksen is undertaking Secretarial duties and Gary Gibbards is our third Committee member.
We look forward to a productive year- with our focus as ever, the best outcomes for members.
As you would be aware, our Club membership has never really recovered from the set back of Covid. However, table numbers for the months of March and April have been particularly concerning. We have averaged only 12 tables per week. Some sessions have had to be cancelled because of lack of support.
We have 94 financial members, 50 of whom have in the past been regular players. To ensure the future of our Club, it is important that we see some of our regular players recommitting to weekly sessions. If you are aware of any reasons for the drop in numbers, please put your thoughts into email and forward it to the Secretary.
April and May are particularly busy months in the Bridge Calendar. The GNOT heats are running and our Novice Pairs Championship is currently being played. Thank you to Director John Reiter who is a non-playing Director for this event. It is very encouraging to see support for this Shield event from Novice players.

## May Events:

Monday $6^{\text {th }} \quad$ Mothers' Day Pairs Red MP
Saturday $11^{\text {th }}-$ Sunday $12^{\text {th }}$
Wednesday $15^{\text {th }}, 22^{\text {nd }}, 29^{\text {th }}$
Monday $27^{\text {th }}$
Sunshine Coast GNOT
Moreton Bribie GNOT
Australia Wide Novice Pairs

## June Events:

Sunday $2^{\text {nd }}$
Saturday $8^{\text {th }}$
Sunday $30^{\text {th }}$

I look forward to seeing you all at the Bridge table to make "Caboolture Bridge Club great again"!
Happy Bridging
Noreen Weyling (President)

## Member Activity

Good to have Pres. Noreen and Tony back from their great trip to France, although the lost luggage problem took some of the shine off the trip.
I hope members tell me about member activities during the next month so that this section of the newsletter is more interesting.

## Novice Pairs 2024



Winners: Karen \& Suzy


## 2nd place :

## Ann \& Dianne

 with our director John
## Novice Pairs Competition 2024.

Eleven Pairs contested our annual Novice Competition for members with less than 100 MP. The event was held over 2 Mondays, the $15^{\text {th }}$ and $22^{\text {nd }}$ April.
It was fantastic to see a larger field than we have had for quite a few years, and to have some of our members just out of lessons competing.
The final result held only $0.21 \%$ between first and second positions.
Congratulations to Karen Gosney and Suzy Brownlow with an overall winning result of 120.4 imps (60.21\%), closely followed by Ann Thomson and Dianne McColl with 120 imps (60\%).

A special mention to Carrolyn Reiter and Lesley Cumming who were third in the first round, third in the second round and third overall, a very consistent effort, well done ladies.
Our non-playing director, John Reiter, made this event a streamlined and enjoyable experience for our novices and deserves high praise. John ensured that the players were also rewarded with drinks and nibbles after the second session and he made special mention of particular achievements by various partnerships.

It is better to grow old with a sense of humour, than to grow old with no sense at all.

## The Losing Trick Count

The full coverage of the Losing Trick Count system is beyond what can be conveyed in a small newsletter, but I will give a brief description of the method and if it interests you, you should read more about it in a book on the subject such as THE MODERN LOSING TRICK COUNT by Ron Klinger.
Most bridge players rely solely on high card points to estimate whether a game or slam is possible, but how much easier it would be if you could estimate the number of tricks able to be won. The Losing Trick Count (LTC) does just that and its application is not only more accurate than point count, it is also simpler. Provided you realize the LTC is not designed for balanced NT hands, and is quite unsuitable for misfit hands. It can only be used after a trump fit has been established. Thus it is vital that you do not use the LTC as replacing point count. It is used as an adjunct to the point count after a trump fit has been established. After the trump fit is known, the LTC will give a more accurate guide to the potential of the partnership hands.

## THE LTC FORMULA -

1. COUNT YOUR LOSERS2. ADD PARTNER"S LOSERS
2. DEDUCT THIS TOTAL FROM 24

## The answer is the number of tricks the partnership can expect to win.

The LTC does not guarantee that you will in fact make this number of tricks. The LTC answer is the number of tricks you can expect to win if suits break normally and half of your finesses work. In other words, it is the number of tricks that will be won most of the time. If three out of three finesses fail, or if outstanding trumps break 4-0, then LTC will give the wrong answer.
The calculations are not complicated since there are only three stages -
1 Counting your losers; 2 Assessing partner's losers. 3 Add losers and deduct total from 24
Neither of these aspects are difficult and we will examine each in turn.
Counting your losers -
Count losers only in the first three cards in each suit, the $4^{\text {th }}, 5^{\text {th }}, 6^{\text {th }}$, etc cards are taken as winners. With 3 or more cards in a suit - count A, K, and Q as winners, and anything else in the top 3 cards as losers. With only 2 cards in a suit - count $A$ and $K$ as winners and anything lower is a loser. With I card only in a suit - count A as a winner, and anything else as a loser.
There is never more than 3 losers in a suit. There are never more losers in a suit than the number of cards in a suit. The number of losers in the following suit holdings are -

| Holdings | Losers | Holdings | Losers | Holdings | Losers |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J986 | 3 | AKQ54 | 0 | A64 | 2 |
| AK7 | 1 | KQJ | 1 | K | 1 |
| KQ | 1 | Q10 | 2 | A | 0 |

A Q as top of a 3 or more card holding is taken as $1 / 2$ a loser - eg. A holding of Q984 is a $21 / 2$ loser hand.

Assessing partner's losers -the actual losers vary with strength-
As points increase, the losers decrease. As points decrease, the losers increase. The more unbalanced a hand, the fewer the losers. The more balanced, the more losers.

The average minimum opening hand is around the 7 loser mark. You calculate partner's losers based on the strength revealed in the bidding. A simple guide for this would be as follows -

Points Description of strength
13-15
16-18
19-21
22 up
10-12
7-9

Sound minimum opening
Strong opening - 1NT
Very strong opening
Game force opening
Just below normal opening
Well below sound opening

## Loser expectancy

7 losers
6 losers
5 losers
4 losers
8 losers
$9-10$ losers

Accordingly if the bidding begins -

| Partner | You |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 C | 1 H |

2H ...
Partner has shown a minimum opening, thus you should assess that he has 7 losers.
Had the bidding started -

| Partner | You |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 C | 1 H |
| $3 \mathrm{H} \ldots$. |  |

Partner has shown a stronger hand. As partner is bidding for one trick more, you are entitled to expect one loser less. The normal holding for that sequence is 6 losers.
If the bidding had been -

| Partner | You |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 C | 1 H |
| $4 \mathrm{H} \ldots$. |  |

Partner would be showing a very strong hand. As a minimum rebid would be 2 H , partner's jump to 4 H is two tricks extra, and so should hold two losers less. The normal holding for this sequence would be 5 losers.

S K98643 You hold this hand and the bidding goes-West North East(you) South
H 7
D 43
1D P
1S
P

C A842
Your thoughts should now speed quickly along these lines - "We have a trump fit, and I hold 7 losers. Partner has shown 2 tricks less than a minimum opening and so should have 5 losers. $7+5=12$ and $24-12=12$. So we have the potential for 12 tricks, and thus a slam. I must therefore keep bidding to check for aces etc. and not pass it out."
Full deal was as follows -

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S AQ75 | J2 | K98643 | 10 |
| H 106 | AQ95 | 7 | KJ8432 |
| D AKJ62 | Q975 | 43 | 108 |
| C K9 | J75 | A842 | Q1063 |

The lead was H 4 . When dummy is tabled you are pleased that you bid on to slam. You ruff the ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ heart, draw trumps in 2 rounds and ruff your club losers in dummy and ruff the 3 diamond losers in your hand, for a good slam, which a lot of pairs would miss.

The LTC can also guide you on whether you go to game, invite game or pass out at a 2 level bid. S K76543 You hold the hand to the left, and partner opens the bidding 1S. You only have H 8 7HCP's, but you only have 7 losers, and thus 10 tricks $(24-12=10)$ appears D 72 possible as you have a very good trump fit. So you would Jump to 4 S as partner C A7432 may pass a lower bid if he has a minimum hand. Partner's hand was as follows-

S AQ852 Thus despite only having 19 HCP's between the 2 hands, opener only has a H AQ2 minimum opening hand, but the 4 S bid is easily makeable, with only two D 862 diamonds and one club suit losers. Thus the LTC system can guide pairs to C 98 to a game which would be missed by a lot of partnerships.

The LTC system can be used even when partner has shown a balanced hand when you have an unbalanced hand, indicating to you a level to which you should bid.
S 8 I was sitting $E$ with the hand on the left, when my partner opened 1 NT . This H A indicated that she held a 15-18 HCP hand with 5-6 losers. As my hand was a $51 / 2$ D AJ73 loser count hand, we had a possible slam combination, as she must have at C Q976543 least 2 clubs, the slam combination should be explored. The bidding then went as follows -

| West | North | East | South |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 N T$ | $P$ | $3 C_{(1)}$ | $P$ | (1) enough points for game and long clubs |
| $3 N T$ | $P$ | $4 N T(2)$ | $P$ | (2) normal Blackwood |
| $5 \mathrm{H}_{(3)}$ | P | 6 C |  | (3) showing 2 aces |

I was worried about whether partner held CK, and was relieved when dummy was tabled. The full deal was as follows -

S 3952
H J10632
D 5
C J 108
S AQ106
H KQ84
D 986
C AK

The lead was the DK.
The 6C slam made, and a 6NT slam could be made. But I did not think of it, when I realised we had a trump fit. Other players finished in 3NT, by not using theLosing Trick Count after a trump fit has been established, missed this slam where partnership only had 29 HCP's.

S K743
H 975
D KQ1042
C 2

What is the difference between complete and finished?
When you marry the right woman you are complete. When you marry the wrong woman you are finished, and when the right one catches you with the wrong one you are completely finished.


## FROM THE DESK OF OUR MASTERPOINT SECRETARY.

We have had quite a few promotions this year.
Congratulations to the following members:

| Margaret Courtney | - | Bronze local |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Karen Jorin | - | Regional |
| Rosemary Floquet | - | National |
| Debbie Gibbards | - | Bronze Life |
| Chris Nelson | - | Local |
| Dianne McColl | - | Bronze Local |
| John Reiter | - | Bronze National |
| Hugh Gehrmann | - | Bronze Life |

